UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,910	01/11/2002	Frank Lee	TRNDP006	7252
<sup>58766</sup> Beyer Law Gro	7590 11/07/200 <b>up</b> LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1687	, -	MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
Cupertino, CA 95015-1687			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/043,910	LEE ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aravind K. Moorthy	2431				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Aravind K. Moorthy</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Rupak Nag</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 29 October 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	<b>;</b> ]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Taylor U.S. Patent No. 6,728,885</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: The attorney and the exatthe limitations of the independent claim. The examiner will (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no contained allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CONTAINED THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE	miner agreed that the Taylor of review the formal response as ments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that which the amendments that which the agopy of the amendments that which the amendments that which the agopy of the amendments that which the amendments that which the amendments that which the amendments that which the amendment that the am	reference did not not not update his se reed would render the substance of been filed, APP of DAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
/Aravind K Moorthy/						